

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT SEATTLE

8 MAJID ALFARAG,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 LOUIS DEJOY,

12 Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:25-cv-00198-JNW

ORDER

13  
14 On May 5, 2025, the deadline for pro se Plaintiff Majid Alfarag to effect  
15 service of process passed. The Court promptly reviewed Alfarag's affidavit of  
16 service, Dkt. No. 12, and found that it did not adequately prove service of process  
17 under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c) and 4(i). Dkt. No. 13. Rather than dismissing this action  
18 for failure to effect service of process, the Court extended the time for Alfarag to  
19 effect service by thirty days and provided him information on how to do so. *Id.*  
20 Thirty days passed, and Alfarag still failed to perfect service of process. *See* Dkt. On  
21 June 9, 2025, rather than dismissing the lawsuit for failure to serve, the Court  
22 again granted another extension, giving Alfarag an additional seven days to show  
23 cause why his case should not be dismissed for failure to effect service of process.

1 This second extension has now elapsed, and Alfarag has filed nothing on the  
2 docket to prove service of process. *See* Dkt. After two extensions, two warnings of  
3 likely dismissal, and no indication of any attempt at compliance, the Court will not  
4 extend the service deadline any further. The Court DISMISSES this action in its  
5 entirety without prejudice for failure to serve. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). The Court  
6 DIRECTS the Clerk of Court to ENTER JUDGMENT and close this case.

7 Dated this 17th day of June, 2025.

8 

9 Jamal N. Whitehead  
10 United States District Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23